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#### Abstract

The crystal structure of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CuCl}_{3}$ and isomorphous substances has been determined. The crystals are orthorhombic with cell dimensions: $a=12 \cdot 00, b=12 \cdot 55, c=4 \cdot 20 \mathrm{~A}$. There are four molecules in the cell and the space group is Pnam. Weissenberg diagrams have been taken along the $c$ axis with $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ and Mo $K \alpha$ radiation and the positions of the atoms have been fixed by means of an approximate three-dimensional Patterson analysis and a Fourier projection along the $c$ axis.

All atoms are situated in the symmetry planes perpendicular to the $c$ axis. There are chains of the composition $\mathrm{CuCl}_{3}$ in the direction of the $c$ axis (needle axis) consisting of $\mathrm{CuCl}_{4}$ tetrahedra sharing corners; they are more compact than the $\mathrm{SiO}_{3}$ chains in the pyroxenes. The K atoms are situated between these chains, surrounded by seven Cl atoms.

The direction of the chains is in agreement with the optical behaviour: largest refractive index in the needle direction.


## Introduction

Compounds of the general composition $M_{2}^{\mathrm{I}} M^{\mathrm{I}}(\mathrm{Hal})_{3}$ have been known for a long time. Boullay (1827) prepared $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{AgI}_{3}$, Mitscherlich (1842) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CuCl}_{3}$. Wells \& Wheeler (1892) described the preparation of $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{AgCl}_{3}$, $\mathrm{Rb}_{2} \mathrm{AgI}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{AgI}_{3}$, with crystallographic measurements of Penfield. The crystals were found to be isomorphous and to crystallize in the orthorhombic system with axial ratios:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{AgCl}_{3} & a: b: c=0.971: 1: 0.244 \\
\mathrm{Rb}_{2} \mathrm{AgI}_{3} & a: b: c=0.977: 1: 0.236 \\
\mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{AgI}_{3} & a: b: c=0.977: 1: 0.234
\end{array}
$$

Mitscherlich reports only that $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CuCl}_{3}$ crystallizes in 'Rectanguläroktaëdern' and Groth (1906-19, pt. 1, p. 316) supposes that it is isomorphous with the compounds mentioned above.
As in these compounds we have an example of complexes formed from monovalent ions only it seemed interesting to determine their crystal structure. From Weissenberg photographs it was deduced that $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CuCl}_{3}$ is isomorphous with the silver compounds and this compound was used for the structure determination; for comparison photographs were also taken of $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{AgCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{AgI}_{3}$.

## Preparation

$\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CuCl}_{3}$ was prepared by adding to a warm, saturated solution of KCl (air driven out with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ) an equivalent amount of CuCl and heating till CuCl was quite dissolved. On cooling the solution, colourless needles of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CuCl}_{3}$ were formed, which on exposure to the air immediately turned opaque and took on a green colour.

The diffraction photographs were taken with a crystal sealed in a Lindemann capillary.
$\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{AgCl}_{3}$ was obtained by saturating a concentrated hot solution of CsCl with AgCl and evaporating at room temperature. It forms colourless needles, which become opaque in the air. For the exposure the $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{AgCl}_{3}$ crystals had to be enclosed in Lindemann tubes.
$\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{AgI}_{3}$ was formed by dissolving calculated amounts of AgI and CsI in a hot concentrated solution of KI. It precipitates in oolourless needles, which are relatively stable. It was therefore not necessary to take special precautions in handling the $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{AgI}_{3}$ crystals.

## The unit cell and space group

The $a$ and $b$ periods were determined from oscillation diagrams along the needle axis [001] with $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ radiation. As it was impossible to obtain crystals extended in any direction other than the $c$ axis, the $c$ period was determined from measurements of the layerline separations. The cell dimensions and axial ratios thus obtained are given in Table 1. As we see, there is a considerable difference between these values and the values derived from the crystallographic measurements of Penfield. Apparently Penfield chose the diagonals of the basal plane as $a$ and $b$ axis. (He reports that his measurements are not very accurate.)

Table 1. Cell dimensions and axial ratios

$$
a \text { (A.) } \quad b \text { (A.) } \quad c \text { (A.) } \quad a: b: c
$$

$\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CuCl}_{3} \quad 12.00 \pm 0.02 \quad 12.55 \pm 0.02 \quad 4.20 \pm 0.02 \quad 0.956: 1: 0.334$
$\mathrm{CS}_{2} \mathrm{AgCl}_{3} 13 \cdot 19 \pm 0.02 \quad 13.74 \pm 0.02 \quad 4.57 \pm 0.02 \quad 0.960: 1: 0.333$
$\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{AgI}_{3} \quad 14.39 \pm 0.02 \quad 15 \cdot 16 \pm 0.02 \quad 5 \cdot 02 \pm 0.02 \quad 0.949: 1: 0.331$

The density found for $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{AgI}_{3}$ is $4 \cdot 1 \mathrm{~g} . \mathrm{cm} .^{-3}$, so there are four molecules in the cell.

From the zero-, first- and second-layer-line Weissenberg diagrams with $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ radiation the following reflexions were found: $h k l$ all orders, $h k 0$ all orders, $h 0 l$ only for $h=2 n, 0 k l$ only for $k+l=2 n$. The space group is therefore Pnam (in the Internationale Tabellen Pnma) or Pna. As the needles have no distinct terminal faces, it cannot be deduced from the habitus whether the $c$ axis is polar or not. Now it is seen from a rotation diagram along [001] with Mo $K \alpha$ radiation that the intensities in the second and fourth layer lines are practically identical with the intensities in the zero layer line (though weaker) and similarly the intensities in the third layer line are practically identical with the intensities in the first layer line. This means that the atoms all lie in two planes (001) at a mutual distance of $\frac{1}{2} c$. Such an arrangement fulfils the symmetry requirements of the space group Pnam, as will be shown presently.

## Atomic positions

The space group Pnam has two symmetry planes perpendicular to the $c$ axis at $c=\frac{1}{4}$ and $c=\frac{3}{4}$. There are four molecules in the cell, so there are to be placed: $4 \mathrm{Cu}, 8 \mathrm{~K}$ and 12 Cl . The atomic positions are:
$(4 a): 0,0,0 ; 0,0, \frac{1}{2} ; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0 ; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$
$(4 b): 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0 ; 0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} ; \frac{1}{2}, 0,0 ; \frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}$ $\begin{aligned} & \text { at the centre of } \\ & \text { symmetry, be- } \\ & \text { tween the sym- } \\ & \text { metry planes. }\end{aligned}$
(4c): $x, y, \frac{1}{4} ; \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \frac{3}{4} ; \frac{1}{2}-x, \frac{1}{2}+y, \frac{3}{4} ; \frac{1}{2}+x, \frac{1}{2}-y, \frac{1}{4}$ in the symmetry planes.
$(8 d): x, y, z ; \frac{1}{2}+x, \frac{1}{2}-y, \frac{1}{2}-z ; \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \frac{1}{2}+z ; \frac{1}{2}-x$, $\frac{1}{2}+y, \bar{z} ; \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z} ; \frac{1}{2}-x, \frac{1}{2}+y, \frac{1}{2}+z ; x, y, \frac{1}{2}-z ;$ $\frac{1}{2}+x, \frac{1}{2}-y, z$ general atomic positions between the symmetry planes.
The K and Cl atoms cannot be located between the symmetry planes as the distance between these planes is only 2.10 A . ( $\mathrm{K}^{+}$radius $=1.33 \mathrm{~A}$., $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$radius $=1.81 \mathrm{~A}$. for co-ordination number six), so these atoms can be placed only in the symmetry planes (4c). Since the $h k 2$ intensities are practically identical with those of $h k 0$, as stated above, Cu has also to lie in the symmetry planes.

For every four atoms in equivalent positions there are two parameters, so there are twelve parameters to be determined.

## Three-dimensional Patterson analysis

All maxima of the Patterson function $P(u, v, w)$ will be situated in the sections in $w=0$ and $w=\frac{1}{2}(u, v$ and $w$ are the co-ordinates in the vector space).

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(u, v, 0)= & \sum_{\substack{-\infty \\
h k l(l=2 n)}}^{+\infty} F^{2}(h k l) \cos 2 \pi(h u+k v) \\
& +\sum_{\substack{-\infty \\
h k l(l=2 n+1)}}^{+\infty} F^{2}(h k l) \cos 2 \pi(h u+k v)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(u, v, \frac{1}{2}\right)= & \sum_{\substack{-\infty \\
h k l(l=2 n)}}^{+\infty} F^{2}(h k l) \cos 2 \pi(h u+k v) \\
& -\sum_{\substack{-\infty \\
h k l(l=2 n+1)}}^{+\infty} F^{2}(h k l) \cos 2 \pi(h u+k v)
\end{aligned}
$$

(suppressing the constant factor).
Now, with all atoms in positions (4c), the geometric structure factors $S(h, k, l+2 n)$, with $n$ any integer, are identical. If we assume that the ratios of the atomic scattering factors are approximately the same for all these reflexions, then we may replace

$$
\sum_{\substack{l=-\infty \\ l=2 n}}^{+\infty} F^{2}(h k l) \text { by } A_{0} F^{2}(h k 0)
$$

and

$$
\sum_{\substack{l=-\infty \\ l=2 n+1}}^{+\infty} F^{2}(h k l) \text { by } A_{1} F^{2}(h k l) .
$$

Moreover, if we assume that $A_{0}$ is equal to $A_{1}$, the Patterson functions become (omitting the proportionality constant $A$ ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(u, v, 0)= & \sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} F^{2}(h k 0) \cos 2 \pi(h u+k v) \\
& +\sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} F^{2}(h k 1) \cos 2 \pi(h u+k v), \\
P\left(u, v, \frac{1}{2}\right)= & \sum_{\substack{-\infty \\
h k}}^{+\infty} F^{2}(h k 0) \cos 2 \pi(h u+k v) \\
& \quad-\sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} F^{2}(h k 1) \cos 2 \pi(h u+k v) .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, in such a case with all atoms lying in two mirror planes, say parallel to (001), approximate Fourier transforms can be made with data $h k 0$ and $h k 1$ only.

The procedure of assuming $A_{0}$ and $A_{1}$ to be indepent of $h k$, and of taking $A_{0}$ equal to $A_{1}$, was later tested by calculation, using $F(h k l)$ values computed from the final atomic co-ordinates. The results for some reflexions are given here:

| $h k$ | 32 | 42 | 34 | 35 | 53 | 75 | 3.11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A_{0}$ | $2 \cdot 2$ | $2 \cdot 8$ | $3 \cdot 2$ | $3 \cdot 0$ | $3 \cdot 1$ | $3 \cdot 6$ | $4 \cdot 2$ |
| $A_{1}$ | $3 \cdot 5$ | $3 \cdot 4$ | $3 \cdot 4$ | $3 \cdot 8$ | $3 \cdot 8$ | $3 \cdot 5$ | $3 \cdot 7$ |

In the determination of the structure of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{CdCl}_{3}$ (same space group and all atoms in positions (4c)) Brasseur \& Pauling (1938) applied a better approximation, as they multiplied the intensities of the reflexions $h k 0$ and $h k l$ with factors obtained by summing over $l$ an averaged function of $f^{2} / Z^{2}$ ( $f$ being the atomic scattering factor and $Z$ the atomic number), with $l$ either even or odd.

The intensities of the reflexions of the zero- and first-layer-line Weissenberg photographs along [001] with $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ radiation were estimated visually, using an
intensity scale prepared from the crystal, and corrected for Lorentz, polarization and absorption factors. The absorption correction was very rough, for it was assumed that the crystal was a cylinder with radius $2.3 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~cm}$., whereas the true dimensions were in perpendicular directions: 5.4 and $3.6 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~cm}$. (The crystal was too soft to be ground to cylindrical shape.)


Fig. 1. Patterson function $P(u, v, 0)$ for $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CuCl}_{3}$. Contour lines at equal height differences on arbitrary scale. Zero line dotted.


Fig. 2. Patterson function $P\left(u, v, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ for $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CuCl}_{3}$. Contour lines as in Fig. 1.

The Patterson functions $P(u, v, 0)$ and $P\left(u, v, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ are shown in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2. $P(u, v, 0)$ must have a peak giving the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cu}$ vector distance in a symmetry plane with $u=180^{\circ}$ and $v=180^{\circ}-2 y$. There are two peaks which may correspond to this vector:
(a) $v=180-2 y=40^{\circ}, y=70^{\circ}$ (peak $A$ in Fig. 1);
(b) $v=180-2 y=180^{\circ}, y=0^{\circ}$ (peak $B$ in Fig. 1).

From $P\left(u, v, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ both the $x$ and $y$ co-ordinates of the Cu atom can be deduced, for the vector distance of two Cu atoms in successive symmetry planes gives a peak in $P\left(u, v, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ with co-ordinates $u=2 x, v=2 y$. The different possibilities are:
(a) $u=180^{\circ}, v=140^{\circ}$ (peak $A^{\prime}$ in Fig. 2), giving Cu co-ordinates: $x=90^{\circ}, y=70^{\circ}$. The corresponding peak, with $u=180-2 x=0, v=180^{\circ}$ is also present (peak $B^{\prime}$ ).

Possibility (b) must correspond to a peak in $P\left(u, v, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ at $v=0$. There are two peaks which come in question:
( $b_{1}$ ) $u=0, v=0$ (peak $C^{\prime}$ ), giving $x=0$ and $y=0$, with another peak $D^{\prime}$ at $u=180^{\circ}, v=180^{\circ}$;
$\left(b_{2}\right) u=170^{\circ}, v=0$ (peak $E^{\prime}$ ), giving $x=85$ and $y=0$, with another peak (elongation of peak $B^{\prime}$ ) at $u=10^{\circ}$, $v=180^{\circ}$.

In order to decide between these possibilities we assume that in our crystal the surrounding of the Cu atom by the Cl atoms is the same as in $\mathrm{CuCl} . \mathrm{CuCl}$ has the zincblende structure, so the Cu atom is tetrahedrally surrounded by the Cl atoms ( $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distance $2 \cdot 34$ A.). In our structure we can fit in a $\mathrm{CuCl}_{4}$ tetrahedron by placing the Cu atom and two Cl atoms in a symmetry plane, say $c=\frac{1}{4}$, and the other two Cl atoms of the tetrahedron in the symmetry planes $c=\frac{3}{4}$ and $c=-\frac{1}{4}$ respectively. A chain of tetrahedra, sharing corners, is thus formed in the direction of the $c$ axis. For such a structure the distance between the symmetry planes ( $\frac{1}{2} c$ ) is calculated to be
in $\quad \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CuCl}_{3} \simeq 2.34 \sin 55^{\circ}=1.92$,
in $\quad \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{AgCl}_{3} \simeq 2.77 \sin 55^{\circ}=2.27$,
and in $\quad \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{AgJ}_{3} \simeq 2.81 \sin 55^{\circ}=2.30$,
and these values are in rough agreement with the observed $c$ periods.

Assuming these tetrahedra, configuration $\left(b_{1}\right)$ is impossible, as in this structure the Cu atom is placed in $0,0, \frac{3}{4}$ and $0,0, \frac{1}{4}$ and so the distance between $\mathrm{Cu}\left(c=\frac{3}{4}\right)$, and $\mathrm{Cl}\left(c=\frac{3}{4}\right)$, belonging to the tetrahedron of $\mathrm{Cu}\left(c=\frac{1}{4}\right)$, would be much too short (1-34 A.).

In agreement with the assumption of the tetrahedra is the fact that we can deduce from our Patterson function $P(u, v, 0)$ two vector distances of about 2.35 A ., including a tetrahedral angle, which may correspond with $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distances. The peaks $C$ and $D$ define vector distances of approximately the required length, and on reflecting $D$ across the $x$ axis and $C$ about the origin we obtain two vectors including a tetrahedral angle. In $P\left(u, v, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ we can find a vector of length corresponding with the distance between $\mathrm{Cu}\left(c=\frac{1}{4}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Cl}\left(c=\frac{3}{4}\right)$ in the assumed tetrahedra, and with a direction which can be deduced by reflexion across the $x$ axis of the bisector of the tetrahedral angle in $P(u, v, 0)$.

The two possibilities left are the configurations (a) and $\left(b_{2}\right)$. Knowing the Cu position and the direction of the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cl}$ vectors it is possible to locate all Cu and Cl atoms, to insert the K atoms and to calculate the co-ordinates. On calculating the intensities of some low reflexions it was seen that configuration (a) gives
a much better agreement with the observed intensities than configuration $\left(b_{2}\right)$.

Almost all peaks in the Patterson functions $P(u, v, 0)$ and $P\left(u, v, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ can be accounted for with configuration (a). The peak $C^{\prime}($ in $(0,0))$ of $P\left(u, v, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ is unaccounted for and the height of the peaks is not in agreement with the scattering factors. This is probably due to the fact that the even layer lines are given too high a weight in our approximation.

## Two-dimensional Fourier synthesis

Assuming configuration ( $a$ ) with Cu in $x=90^{\circ}, y=70^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cl}$ vectors as found from $P(u, v, 0)$, the structure factors of all observed reflexions of the zero layer line along [001] were calculated, and the signs were used


Fig. 3. Fourier projection of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CuCl}_{3}$ along [001]. Contour lines as in Fig. 1 .
for a Fourier synthesis. In order to reduce the influence of the absorption, zero-layer-line Weissenberg diagrams were taken along [001] with Mo $K \propto$ radiation. The intensities were estimated visually by comparison with an intensity scale and corrected for Lorentz and polarization factors. The intensities of the lower reflexions, which do not appear on the molybdenum diagram were taken from the copper photograph and were correlated with the molybdenum intensities. In the preliminary Fourier summation about twenty $F$ 's were omitted because the signs were uncertain. In the second and definitive summation all $F$ 's were used with the now calculated signs.

Fig. 3 shows the Fourier projection along the $c$ axis. The peaks are well resolved from each other, and the co-ordinates may be determined accurately. The electron-density map shows false maxima, all very low, which must be due to experimental errors and to the fact that many reflexions with low intensity were apparently submerged in the general background and so were not included in the series.

The final co-ordinates are listed in Table 2 and differ only by a few degrees from the co-ordinates deduced from the Patterson analysis (only the $x$ co-ordinate of K (II) has been shifted over 8 degrees of the cell dimension).

Table 2. Parameter values found for $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CuCl}_{3}$
(Values in fractions of the cell dimensions.)

|  | $x$ | $y$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Cu | 0.252 | 0.197 |
| Cl (I) | 0.133 | 0.052 |
| Cl (II) | 0.435 | 0.138 |
| Cl (III) | 0.277 | 0.791 |
| K (I) | 0.172 | 0.480 |
| K (II) | -0.488 | -0.327 |

In order to estimate the accuracy of the co-ordinates obtained, a Fourier synthesis was made as suggested


Fig. 4. Comparison of calculated (full line) and observed (dotted line) structure factors $F(h k 0)$ for $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CuCl}_{3}$. Mo K $\alpha$ radiation.


Fig. 5. Comparison of calculated (full line) and observed (dotted line) structure factors $F(h k \mathrm{I})$ for $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CuCl}_{3} . \mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ radiation.
by Booth (1947), using the structure factors calculated from the final co-ordinates and omitting the structure factors of reflexions not observed. The co-ordinates derived from this synthesis have a deviation from the final co-ordinates within the accuracy of the graphical determination of the co-ordinates of the maxima. The maximum error in the final co-ordinates, due to finite termination, is thus about $\frac{1_{2}}{}{ }^{\circ}$, that is 0.02 A .

In Figs. 4 and 5 are illustrated graphically the observed structure factors and the structure factors calculated (without temperature factor), using the

Table 3. Observed intensities and calculated structure factors, $F(h k 0)$, for $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{AgCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{AgI}_{3}$ computed with the parameters found for $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CuCl}_{3}$

|  | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{AgI}_{3}$ |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{AgCl}_{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $h k l$ | $10^{3} \cdot \sin ^{2} \theta_{C u}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Cu}}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{\text {Mo }}$ | $F_{\text {calo }}$ | $\overparen{I_{\mathbf{C u}}}$ | $F_{\text {calc }}$. |
| 130 | 26 |  |  |  | $m$ | -52 |
| 310 | 28 |  |  |  | $m$ | $+60$ |
| 230 | 34 | $w$ |  | $+27$ | $v w$ | +19 |
| 320 | 36 | $w$ |  | + 65 | - | $+7$ |
| 040 | 41 | - |  | + 25 | $w$ | $+32$ |
| 140 | 44 | - |  | $+10$ | $w$ | -36 |
| 400 | 46 | $m$ |  | + 58 | ss | +65 |
| 410 | 48 | $m s$ |  | - 81 | $w$ | -33 |
| 330 | 49 | vw |  | $-26$ | - | -10 |
| 240 | 52 | $s$ |  | $-102$ | $m s$ | -63 |
| 420 | 56 | $s$ |  | $-139$ | $s$ | -90 |
| 150 | 67 | vw |  | $+15$ | - | +11 |
| 340 | 67 | $w$ |  | + 8 | $w$ | +24 |
| 430 | 69 | $v w$ |  | - 25 | - | -18 |
| 510 | 73 | $w$ |  | $-27$ | vw | -15 |
| 250 | 75 | $s$ |  | +102 | $m$ | +47 |
| 520 | 81 | $v w$ |  | $+20$ | $v w$ | $+19$ |
| 440 | 87 | - | $v w$ | - 14 | $v w$ | -19 |
| 350 | 90 | $s$ | ss | + 94 | $m s$ | +72 |
| 060 | 93 | $m$ | ss | + 95 | $m s$ | $+90$ |
| 530 | 94 | $m$ | $s$ | - 68 | $m$ | -64 |
| 160 | 96 | - | $v w$ | - 20 | - | 0 |
| 600 | 103 | - | - | + 7 | $m s$ | +35 |
| 260 | 104 | - | vw | + 25 | vvw | +13 |
| 610 | 105 | $v w$ | $v w$ | - 18 | $w$ | -18 |
| 450 | 110 | - | - | $+10$ | - | - 7 |
| 540 | 112 | $w$ | $w$ | $-31$ | - | -12 |
| 620 | 113 | $m$ | ss | +81 | $m s$ | $+54$ |
| 360 | 119 | - | - | - 3 | $v w$ | -21 |
| 630 | 126 | $m$ | $s$ | - 64 | - | -11 |
| 170 | 129 | $w$ | $m$ | - 49 | - | -12 |
| 550 | 135 | $\boldsymbol{w}$ | $m$ | - 34 | - | - 9 |
| 270 | 137 | $w$ | $m$ | $+57$ | $v w$ | +28 |
| 460 | 139 | $m$ | $m$ | +57 | $w$ | +44 |
| 710 | 142 | $m$ | $s s$ | + 74 | $w$ | +24 |
| 640 | 144 | $w$ | $w$ | + 34 | - | +18 |
| 720 | 150 | - | $v w$ | - 36 | $m$ | -42 |
| 370 | 152 | - |  | + 25 | $w$ | +32 |
| 730 | 163 | $m$ | $s$ | $-87$ | $m s$ | $-56$ |
| 560 | 164 | $m$ | $m$ | - 37 | $v w$ | +25 |
| 080 | 165 | $v w$ | $m$ | $-59$ | $w$ | -40 |
| 650 | 167 | $w$ | $v w$ | + 14 | - | +17 |
| 180 | 168 | - | $v w$ | + 9 | vw | -31 |
| 470 | 172 | $w$ | $w$ | - 42 | $w$ | -37 |
| 280 | 176 | - | $v w$ | $+30$ | - | $-1$ |
| 740 | 181 | - | - | + 1 | - | +22 |
| 800 | 183 | $v w$ | $w$ | + 40 | $m$ | $+37$ |
| 810 | 185 | $w$ | $m$ | - 39 | vw | $-18$ |
| 380 | 191 | - | - | $+27$ | - | +23 |
| 820 | 193 | $v w$ | $w$ | - 43 | $s$ | -61 |
| 660 | 196 | $w$ | $w$ | $+31$ | $w$ | $+43$ |
| 570 | 197 | $w$ | $w$ | + 51 | - | $+9$ |

$s=$ strong, $m=$ medium, $w=$ weak, $v w=$ very weak, $s s=$ very strong.
atomic scattering factors listed in the Internationale Tabellen, for part of the $h k 0$ and for the lower $h k 1$ reflexions. The agreement for the latter reflexions is less good, probably owing to the much stronger absorption of the copper radiation.

## Isomorphous substances

For comparison, zero-layer-line photographs along the needle axis were also taken of $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{AgCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{AgI}_{3}$. Taking for these substances the same parameter values as for $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CuCl}_{3}$, we find for the distance $\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{I}$ in the mirror plane 2.78 A . (in AgI this distance is 2.81 A .); for the distance $\mathrm{Cs}-\mathrm{I} 3.86 \mathrm{~A}$. (sum $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$and $\mathrm{I}^{-}$radii for coordination number six $=3.85 \mathrm{~A}$.) ; for the distance $\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{Cl}$ 2.54 A . (in AgCl with rocksalt structure 2.77 A .); and for the distance $\mathrm{Cs}-\mathrm{Cl}$ about 3.54 A . (sum $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ radii for co-ordination number six $=3 \cdot 48$ A.). The parameter values in these substances therefore cannot be very different and so the $F(h k 0)$ values (only the lower reflexions) for $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{AgCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{AgI}_{3}$ were calculated with the parameter values found for $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CuCl}_{3}$.

Table 3 shows that there is already a fairly good agreement with the estimated intensities. (In both substances the absorption is still stronger than in $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CuCl}_{3}$.) We may therefore conclude that $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{AgCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{AgI}_{3}$ have the same structure as $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CuCl}_{3}$.

## Discussion of the structure

Fig. 6 gives the structure projected along the $c$ axis. The Cl atoms form tetrahedra about the Cu atom and these tetrahedra form chains in the direction of the $c$ axis, as successive tetrahedra share corners. The two


Fig. 6. Projection of the structure of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CuCl}_{3}$ along [001]. There are in the unit cell four chains of tetrahedra in the direction of the $c$ axis. These chains appear in this projection as triangles with Cl at the corners and Cu in the centre. The large circles are $K$. $K(I)$ at $c=\frac{1}{4}$ is surrounded by 1 Cl at $c=\frac{1}{4}, 3 \mathrm{Cl}$ at $c=\frac{3}{4}$, and 3 Cl at $c=-\frac{1}{4} . \mathrm{K}$ (II) at $c=\frac{1}{4}$ is surrounded by 3 Cl at $c=\frac{1}{4}, 2 \mathrm{Cl}$ at $c=\frac{3}{4}$, and 2 Cl at $c=-\frac{1}{4}$.

K atoms are located between the chains in positions which are not equivalent, but both K atoms are surrounded by seven Cl atoms, six in the corners of a distorted trigonal prism and one on a lateral face. The $c$ axis of the structure is parallel to the trigonal axis of the prism around $K(I)$ and to one of the base edges of the trigonal prism around $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{II})$. The calculated distances are listed in Table 4.

Table 4. Calculated distances in $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CuCl}_{3}$
(Values in A. Maximum error about 0.04 A.)
$\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cl}$ ( I ) in the same symmetry plane $\quad 2.31$
$\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cl}$ (II) in the same symmetry plane $\quad 2 \cdot 32$
Cu -Cl (III) in different symmetry planes $\quad 2 \cdot 43$
K (I)-Cl (II) in the same symmetry plane $\quad \mathbf{3 . 2 0}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{K} & \text { (I)-Cl (II) in different symmetry planes } & \mathbf{3} \cdot 18\end{array}$
K (I)-Cl (III) in different symmetry planes $\quad 3 \cdot 22$
K (I)-Cl (I) in different symmetry planes $\quad 3 \cdot 27$
K (II)-Cl (III) in the same symmetry plane $\quad 3.23$
K (II)-Cl (III) in the same symmetry plane $\quad 3.18$
K (II)-Cl (I) in the same symmetry plane $\quad 3.18$
K (II)-Cl (II) in different symmetry planes $\quad 3 \cdot 22$
K (II)-Cl (I) in different symmetry planes $\quad 3 \cdot 12$
$\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distance in $\mathrm{CuCl} \quad 2.34$
$\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distance in $\mathrm{KCl} \quad \mathbf{3 \cdot 1 4}$
The chains have some analogy with the $\mathrm{SiO}_{3}$ chains in the pyroxenes, but still there is a typical difference, resulting in greater compactness of the $\mathrm{CuCl}_{3}$ chain (Fig. 7). In a $\mathrm{CuCl}_{3}$ chain of the type of the $\mathrm{SiO}_{3}$ chain in the pyroxenes the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cu}$ distance would be larger.

The structure satisfies the electrostatic valence rule (Pauling, 1939, p. 364): the sum of the strength of the electrostatic bonds to Cl I and to Cl II is: $\frac{5}{7}+\frac{1}{4}=0.96$, and to Cl III: $\frac{4}{7}+\frac{2}{4}=1 \cdot 07$.

The chains are in agreement with the observed optical behaviour (largest refractive index in the needle direction).

It is a great pleasure to us to thank Prof. Dr A. E. van Arkel, Leiden, for suggesting and stimulating this investigation and for reading the manuscript.

(a)

(b)

Fig. 7. (a) Chain in pyroxenes (idealized). Small circles are Si, large circles O . (b) Chain in $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CuCl}_{3}$ (idealized). Small circles are Cu , large circles Cl .

## References

Bоотн, A. D. (1947). Proc. Roy. Soc. A, 188, 77.
Boullay, P. (1827). Ann. Chim. (Phys.), in, 34, 377.
Brasseur, H. \& Pauling, L. (1938). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 60, 2886.
GRотн, P. (1906-19). Chemische Krystallographie. Leipzig: Engelmann.
Matscherlich, E. (1842). J. prakt. Chem. 19, 449.
Pauling, L. (1939). The Nature of the Chemical Bond. Cornell: University Press.
Wells, H. L., Wheeler, H. L. \& Penfield, S. L. (1892). Amer. J. Sci. 3, 44, 157.

# Relation between Residual Strain Energy and Elastic Moduli* 

By Clarence Zener.<br>Institute for the Study of Metals, The University of Chicago, Ill., U.S.A.

(Received 20 December 1948)
It is shown that the presence of residual strain energy necessarily results in a lowering of the overall tensile and shear moduli, and a quantitative relation is derived between the density of residual strain energy and the decrease in tensile and shear moduli. An interpretation is thereby given to the recent observations of Köster that solute atoms of only a small solubility lower the tensile modulus when they are atomically dispersed.

## Introduction

The inspiration for the present paper has been derived from the recent study of Köster \& Rauscher (1948) regarding the influence of solute atoms in solid solution upon the tensile elastic modulus of metals. Köster has found that alloying elements always reduce the tensile elastic moduli of $\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Ag}$, and Au provided the

[^0]maximum solubility of the alloying elements is less than 20 atomic $\%$. He further found a rough correlation between the maximum solubility and the rate at which the elastic modulus decreases with increasing concentration, the lower the solubility the greater the rate at which the elastic modulus decreases. The general trends are indicated in Fig. 1 for alloying elements in copper.

It would appear at first sight as if no correlation whatsoever should exist between the limit of solubility and the decrease in elastic modulus. Closer examina-


[^0]:    * This research has been partially supported by the Office of Naval Research, USN (Contract No. N-6ori-IV, Contract NR 015018 ).

